7. HEAD OF LAW REPORT - PLANNING APPEALS (A.1536/AMC)

1. APPEALS LODGED

The following appeals have been lodged during this month.

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	Committee/ Delegated
NP/DDD/1120/1049 3270136	Proposed agricultural building for livestock and equipment at land north west of Main Street, Taddington	Written Representations	Delegated
NP/SM/0521/0530 3281263	New detached garage at Rose Cottage, Calton	Householder	Delegated
NP/SM/0220/0110 3274908	Replacement windows at Blakelow Cottage, Butterton,	Written Representations	Delegated
ENF 21/0034 3279072	Without Planning Permission - Operational development, consisting of construction of a driveway, construction of a car park, construction of a building, erection of fences, gateways and stiles and laying of hard surfacing at Thornbridge Hall, Ashford in the Water.	Public Inquiry	Delegated

2. APPEALS WITHDRAWN

There have been no appeals withdrawn during this month.

3. APPEALS DECIDED

The following appeals have been decided during this month.

Reference	<u>Details</u>	Method of Appeal	<u>Decision</u>	Committee/ Delegated
NP/HPK/1220/1142 3275704	Remove the existing porch and replace with a single story front porch, new timber framed window to the front elevation and alterations to an existing rear window to form a door at Pear Tree Farm, Stubbins Lane, Chinley	Householder	Allowed	Delegated

The Inspector considered that the proposal would not cause harm to the character and appearance of host dwelling nor the conservation area. The proposal was also in accord with GSP1, GSP3 and L3 of the Core Strategy as well as DMC3, DMC5, DMC8 and DMH7 of the Development Management Policies. The appeal was allowed.

NP/SM/0221/0138 3276874	Proposed alterations and extension of dwelling including replacement outbuilding at Daisy Bank, Longnor	Householder	Allowed	Delegated

The Inspector considered that the proposal would not detract from the character and appearance of, nor dominate the original dwelling due to its positioning and limited overall size. The proposal would also concord with GSP3 and L3 of the Core Strategy and DMC3, DMC5 and DMH7 of the Development Management Polices. The appeal was allowed.

NP/DDD/1120/1126 3279100	Proposed two storey infill extension at Bridge Barn, Castleton Road,	Householder	Dismissed	Delegated
	Hathersage			

The Inspector considered that the proposal would not respect the character of the host building, and that the style and design of the windows would not assimilate well with the proportions and position of other openings on the building. It was also felt that the development conflict with GSP1, GSP2 and GSP3 of the Core Strategy as well as DMC3, DMC5 and DMH7 of the Development Management Policies. The appeal was dismissed.

NP/DDD/0121/0058 3278528	Proposed 1st floor extension to create ancillary accommodation at 3 Elliott Avenue, Bradwell	Householder	Dismissed	Delegated	
-----------------------------	--	-------------	-----------	-----------	--

The Inspector considered that the proposal would be harmful to the character and appearance of the main dwelling house and the immediate street scene. It also conflicted with GSP1 and GSP3 of the Core Strategy as well as DMC3 and DMH7 of the Development Management Policies. The appeal was dismissed.

4. **RECOMMENDATION:**

To note the report.